

CSI 436/536 (Fall 2024) **Machine Learning**

Lecture 10: Regularization

Chong Liu Assistant Professor of Computer Science

Oct 1, 2024

Announcement

- Homework 1 is due at midnight!
	- Late submissions before tomorrow midnight receive only **half of earned** credits.
	- Submissions after tomorrow midnight receive **NO** credits.
- Homework 2 will be released later today.

Recap: Linear regression

- Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD)
	- Using a stochastic approximation of the gradient:

$$
\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t - \eta_t \hat{\nabla} f(\theta_t)
$$

- Calculated by one data point randomly sampled from dataset
- Linear regression
	- $\hat{w} = \text{argmin}_w$ 1 $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i^T w - y_i)^2 = \text{argmin}_w ||Xw - y||_2^2$
	- Direct solver: $\widehat{w} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$
	- GD: $w \leftarrow w 2\eta X^T (Xw y)$, time complexity $O(ndT)$
	- SGD: $w \leftarrow w 2\eta x_i^T (x_i^T w y_i)$, time complexity $O(dT)$

Recap: Two problems of supervised learning

Today

- Linear regression in curve fitting
- Problem of overfitting
- Regularization prevents overfitting

Example of regression - Curve fitting: how to train a function fitting blue dots?

- Input:
	- $x \in \mathcal{X} = [0,1] \subset \mathbb{R}$
- Output:
	- $y \in \mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}$
- Data:
	- $(x_1, y_1), ..., (x_n, y_n)$
- Ground truth:

 $f_0(x) = \sin(2\pi x)$

• Hypothesis?

$$
f(x) = w_0 + w_1 x + w_2 x^2 + \dots + w_M x^M
$$

Polynomial regression under square loss

Problem of overfitting!

Recap: The problem of Overfitting

The green line represents an overfitted model.

- 1. Best follows the training data
- 2. Too dependent on training data
- 3. More likely to fail (higher error rate) than black line on new unseen test data

Discussion: examples of overfitting in our learning as human beings?

Regularization prevents overfitting!

• Same model:

$$
f(x) = w_0 + w_1 x + w_2 x^2 + \dots + w_M x^M
$$

How does regularization work?

- Regularization controls the parameter complexity (norms of parameter).
	- p -norm regularized least square

$$
\hat{\theta} = \arg\min_{\theta} \frac{1}{n} \|X\theta - y\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\theta\|_p^p
$$

- In-class exercise:
	- Find L-2 norm of $x = [2, -1, -3, 1]$ and $x' = [1, -0.5, -1.5, 0.5]$
	- Plot $f(x) = 2 x 3x^2 + x^3$ and $g(x) =$ $1 - 0.5x - 1.5x^2 + 0.5x^3$ in [-2,4]

Different choices of regularization

• p-norm regularized least square

$$
\hat{\theta} = \arg\min_{\theta} \frac{1}{n} \|X\theta - y\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\theta\|_p^p
$$

- when p=2, this is called "Ridge Regression"
- when p=1, this is called "Lasso"

• In-class exercise: work out the direct solver for Ridge Regression.

Fitted curve as L-2 regularization weight increases

11

The mean square errors as we adjust the L2 regularization weight

- Why is test error in a Ushaped curve?
	- Due to bias-variance tradeoff (after midterm)
	- Regularization weight cannot be too large or too small

Discussion:

Why training error increases with regularization weight?

Case study: Housing price dataset

• Example data

- Questions one can ask:
	- How well can one use the 8 features to predict house price (i.e., Target)?
	- Which feature is more predictive with house price?
	- What is the effect of regularization?

The MSE vs regularization weight

The "Regularization path" for L2-regularization

How to interpret the fitted coefficients?

- The "sign" indicates positive or negative correlation with the label
- The "magnitude" indicates how strongly correlated.

Summary

- Linear regression
	- Solving the Least Square problem {with GD, SGD and direct solver}
- Regularization
	- Controls the parameter complexity of the fitted function
	- Prevents overfitting!
	- Different regularization: L-2 (most popular) and L-1
- Case study: Predict Housing Price
	- Effect of regularization on training test and test error
	- Regularization path (Effect of regularization on coefficients)